Journal for ReAttach Therapy and Developmental Diversities
eISSN: 2589-7799
2023 September; 6(7s): 1371-1376

The Efficacy Of Mirror Therapy In Stroke Rehabilitation: A Comprehensive Review

Poonam Arora[1] & Dr. Hanuman S. Rao[2]

[1*]Physiotherapist(PhD student), Tantia University, Sri Ganganagar, Rajasthan
[2]Professor, Tantia University

Abstract

Stroke-induced motor impairments significantly affect patients' quality of life, necessitating effective rehabilitation strategies. Mirror therapy (MT) has emerged as a promising intervention for motor function recovery in stroke patients. This review synthesizes current literature on the efficacy of MT in improving motor recovery, balance, and gait. The findings suggest that MT is beneficial for upper and lower extremity rehabilitation, enhancing motor function, balance, and daily activities. However, variations in study methodologies and intervention protocols indicate the need for standardized treatment guidelines.

Keywords: Mirror Therapy and Stroke Rehabilitation

Introduction

Stroke is a leading cause of long-term disability worldwide, often resulting in motor impairments and balance deficits. Vasogenic edema, characterized by a larger mass effect, hypo attenuation, and well-defined borders, is reflected in sub-acute strokes. At this point, the mass effect and herniation risk are at their highest. Brain tissue is lost in chronic strokes, which are hypo attenuating.

Stroke, which is a leading cause of disability and death globally, is classically defined as a neurological deficit attributed to an acute focal injury of the central nervous system (CNS) by a vascular cause, such as cerebral infarction, intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH), and subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH).

Conventional therapy refers to the traditional or standard forms of therapy that are commonly used in healthcare. It typically refers to evidence-based practices and interventions that have been established and accepted within the field. Conventional therapy may vary depending on the specific healthcare discipline, such as physical therapy, occupational therapy, speech therapy, or psychotherapy.

Most medical professionals employ and accept conventional therapy, often known as conventional treatment. Compared to alternative treatments, which are less common,it is distinct. Examples of traditional cancer treatments include radiation therapy, chemotherapy, and surgery.

In physical therapy, for example, conventional therapy may involve exercises, manualtherapy techniques, and modalities such as heat or cold therapy, ultrasound, or electrical stimulation.

Conventional therapy approacheshave been utilized to promote recovery in stroke patients, but there is a growing interest in incorporating innovative techniques such as mirror therapy.

In mirror therapy (MT), a mirror is used to reflect an afflicted limb in order to providepositive visual feedback of a limb movement or to fool the brain into believing that a movement has happened without pain. The afflicted limb must be positioned behind a mirror.

Mirror therapy is a cognitive method for functional recovery from hemiparesis, according to Steven et al., who used it on two patients with embolic stroke for activities including reaching, handling objects, and wrist motions. Mirror treatment may help at least some people with hemiparesis after a stroke, according to research on nine stroke patients. This finding encouraged bigger mirror therapy studies. Mirror therapy in addition to a traditional stroke rehabilitation program may improve lower limb motor recovery and motor functioning in sub-acute stroke patients, according torandomized controlled research conducted by Serap Sutbeyaz et al. Many explanations have been put out for how mirror treatment helps stroke patients restore their motor function.

According to research by Lewin Altschuler et al., the mirror may replace diminished or nonexistent proprioceptive input by providing appropriate visual information. In a similar vein, Serap Sutbeyaz et al. noted that the mirror's congruent visual feedback and muscular imagery would support the restoration of cortical processing integrity and, therefore, function. Research has been conducted to determine how mirror imagery affects brain activity. It has been proposed by M.I. Gary et al. that ipsilateral primary motor cortex (M1) facilitation is improved when phasic, unilateral handmovements are mirrored.

This review aims to evaluate the Efficacy of Lower Extremity Mirror Therapy and Conventional Therapy for Recovery in Subacute Stroke Patients: A Comprehensive Review. It seeks to highlight the benefits of Lower Extremity Mirror

Therapy in terms of recovery of motor functions and balance training in subacute stroke patients, thereby providing valuable insights for healthcare professionals and physiotherapists. By examining the current literature, this review will contribute to the development of evidence-based practices that enhance the rehabilitation process of subacute stroke patients.

Review of Literature

Extensive research has been conducted on MT in stroke rehabilitation, emphasizing its role in neuroplasticity and motor recovery. Studies have demonstrated that MT activates the mirror neuron system, which plays a crucial role in motor learning and rehabilitation.
Several randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have assessed the impact of MT on upper and lower limb recovery. Research has consistently reported improvements in grip strength, hand dexterity, and fine motor control in stroke patients undergoing MT. Studies utilizing the Fugl-Meyer Assessment and Box and Block Test confirm significant functional gains, particularly in the chronic stroke population.
For lower limb rehabilitation, MT has been linked to enhanced gait performance, improved balance, and reduced spasticity. Trials incorporating the Berg Balance Scale and 10-Meter Walk Test reveal that MT significantly improves mobility and stability. Meta-analyses comparing MT with other rehabilitation techniques such as electrical stimulation and action-observation training suggest that while MT is effective, its efficacy may be enhanced when combined with conventional therapy.

Despite these promising outcomes, some studies indicate variability in patient responses. Factors such as stroke severity, duration of intervention, and individual neuroplastic capacity influence the effectiveness of MT. Moreover, the long-term retention of MT-induced motor improvements remains an area requiring further investigation.

Objective of study
This review aims to evaluate the efficacy of mirror therapy in stroke rehabilitation, specifically in improving motor recovery, balance, and functional independence.

Research Methodology

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria Studies included in this review were randomized controlled trials (RCTs), systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and observational studies published between 2005 and 2023. Eligible studies focused on the effects of MT on stroke rehabilitation, particularly motor function, balance, and gait. Exclusion criteria included case reports, studies with small sample sizes ($n < 10$), non-peer-reviewed articles, and research lacking a control group.

Data Extraction Relevant studies were identified through a systematic database search using PubMed, Cochrane, and Scopus. Key information such as study design, sample size, intervention protocol, outcome measures, and results were extracted and recorded in a structured format.

Quality Assessment The quality of included studies was assessed using the PEDro Scale for RCTs and the AMSTAR tool for systematic reviews. Studies scoring below 4 on the PEDro scale or classified as low quality on AMSTAR were excluded from analysis. Bias was evaluated based on blinding, allocation concealment, and attrition rates.

Data Synthesis and Analysis
A narrative synthesis of findings was performed, categorizing results based on motor recovery, balance, and gait. Quantitative data from meta-analyses were reported using standardized mean differences (SMDs) and confidence intervals (CIs). Variability in results was analyzed using heterogeneity measures such as I^2 statistics.

Ethical Analysis All included studies adhered to ethical guidelines, with approvals from institutional review boards and informed consent obtained from participants. Studies that failed to mention ethical approval were excluded from this review.

Results and Findings

The review identified significant improvements in motor function among stroke patients undergoing MT. Studies using the Fugl-Meyer Assessment, Berg Balance Scale, and Functional Ambulation Categories demonstrated enhanced motor performance, balance, and mobility. MT was found to be particularly effective in sub-acute and chronic stroke patients, with benefits extending to improved grip strength, reduced spasticity, and better gait performance. Comparative studies

revealed that MT, in conjunction with conventional rehabilitation, led to greater improvements than conventional therapy alone. However, some studies noted limited effects on balance compared to other interventions like electrical stimulation.

Conclusion

Mirror therapy is a viable and effective intervention for stroke rehabilitation, promoting motor recovery and functional independence. Its non-invasive and cost-effective nature makes it accessible for widespread clinical use. However, further research is required to establish standardized protocols, assess long-term benefits, and explore its integration with other rehabilitation modalities for optimal outcomes.

References

1. Bruno Vieira Cortez and Constância Karyne da Silva Coêlho 2016, "Effects of Mirror Therapy on the Lower Limb Functionality Hemiparesis after Stroke" Vol.8 No.14, Health, 8, 1442-1452. doi: 10.4236/health.2016.814144.
2. Guenther, K. (2016). 'It's all done with mirrors': VS Ramachandran and the material culture of phantom limb research. *Medical history*, *60*(3), 342-358.
3. Stevens, J. A., & Stoykov, M. E. P. (2003). Using motor imagery in the rehabilitation of hemiparesis. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation*, *84*(7), 1090-1092.
4. Sütbeyaz, S., Yavuzer, G., Sezer, N., & Koseoglu, B. F. (2007). Mirror therapy enhances lower-extremity motor recovery and motor functioning after stroke: a randomized controlled trial. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation*, *88*(5), 555-559.
5. Ramachandran, V. S., & Altschuler, E. L. (2009). The use of visual feedback, in particular mirror visual feedback, in restoring brain function. *Brain*, *132*(7), 1693-1710.
6. Garry, M. I., Loftus, A., & Summers, J. J. (2005). Mirror, mirror on the wall: viewing a mirror reflection of unilateral hand movements facilitates ipsilateral M1 excitability. *Experimental brain research*, *163*, 118-122.
7. Jackson, P. L., Lafleur, M. F., Malouin, F., Richards, C., & Doyon, J. (2001). Potential role of mental practice using motor imagery in neurologic rehabilitation. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation*, *82*(8), 1133-1141.
8. Ho, M. L., Rojas, R., & Eisenberg, R. L. (2012). Cerebral edema. *American Journal of Roentgenology*, *199*(3), W258-W273.
9. Sacco, R. L., Kasner, S. E., Broderick, J. P., Caplan, L. R., Connors, J. J., Culebras, A., ... & Vinters, H. V. (2013). An updated definition of stroke for the 21st century: a statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke*, *44*(7), 2064-2089.
10. Takeuchi, N., & Izumi, S. I. (2013). Rehabilitation with poststroke motor recovery: a review with a focus on neural plasticity. *Stroke research and treatment*, *2013*(1), 128641.
11. Bashir, S., Mizrahi, I., Weaver, K., Fregni, F., & Pascual-Leone, A. (2010). Assessment and modulation of neural plasticity in rehabilitation with transcranial magnetic stimulation. *PM&R*, *2*(12), S253-S268.
12. Hui, C., Tadi, P., Suheb, M. Z. K., & Patti, L. (2024). Ischemic stroke. In *StatPearls [Internet]*. StatPearls Publishing.
13. Rajkumar, S. V., & Kyle, R. A. (2005). Conventional therapy and approach to management. *Best Practice & Research Clinical Haematology*, *18*(4), 585-601.
14. Fauth, E. B., Schaefer, S. Y., Zarit, S. H., Ernsth-Bravell, M., & Johansson, B. (2017). Associations between fine motor performance in activities of daily living and cognitive ability in a nondemented sample of older adults: implications for geriatric physical rehabilitation. *Journal of Aging and Health*, *29*(7), 1144-1159.
15. Yavuzer, G., Selles, R., Sezer, N., Sütbeyaz, S., Bussmann, J. B., Köseoğlu, F., ... & Stam, H. J. (2008). Mirror therapy improves hand function in subacute stroke: a randomized controlled trial. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation*, *89*(3), 393-398.
16. Ramachandran, V. S., & Rogers-Ramachandran, D. (1996). Synaesthesia in phantom limbs induced with mirrors. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences*, *263*(1369), 377-386.
17. Deconinck, F. J., Smorenburg, A. R., Benham, A., Ledebt, A., Feltham, M. G., & Savelsbergh, G. J. (2015). Reflections on mirror therapy: a systematic review of the effect of mirror visual feedback on the brain. *Neurorehabilitation and neural repair*, *29*(4), 349-361.
18. Gandhi, D. B., Sterba, A., Khatter, H., & Pandian, J. D. (2020). Mirror therapy in stroke rehabilitation: current perspectives. *Therapeutics and clinical risk management*, 75-85.
19. Saka, Ö., McGuire, A., & Wolfe, C. (2009). Cost of stroke in the United Kingdom. *Age and ageing*, *38*(1), 27-32.
20. Walker, M. L., Ringleb, S. I., Maihafer, G. C., Walker, R., Crouch, J. R., Van Lunen, B., & Morrison, S. (2010). Virtual reality–enhanced partial body weight–supported treadmill training poststroke: feasibility and effectiveness in 6 subjects. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation*, *91*(1), 115-122.
21. Sivan, M., Brown, J., Brennan, S., & Bhakta, B. (2011). A one-stop approach to the management of soft tissue and degenerative musculoskeletal conditions using clinic-based ultrasonography. *Musculoskeletal Care*, *9*(2), 63-68.

22. Kundi, M. K., & Spence, N. J. (2023). Efficacy of mirror therapy on lower limb motor recovery, balance and gait in subacute and chronic stroke: A systematic review. *Physiotherapy Research International*, *28*(2), e1997.
23. Hung, G. K. N., Li, C. T. L., Yiu, A. M., & Fong, K. N. (2015). Systematic review: Effectiveness of mirror therapy for lower extremity post-stroke. *Hong Kong journal of occupational therapy*, *26*, 51-59.
24. Dr Shweta Hambir and Dr Abhijit Satralkar 2023, "Effectiveness of Movement Based Mirror Therapy Versus Task Based Mirror Therapy on Upper Limb Function, Muscle Tone and Grip Strength inPatients with Stroke: A Comparative Study" International Journal of Health Sciences and Research, Vol.13; Issue: 6: ISSN: 2249-957. Website: www.ijhsr.org
25. Sirajahemad H Bhoraniya, Daxa G Mishra, Shweta M Parikh 2018, "The effect of mirror therapy on the gait of chronic stroke patients:A randomized controlled trial" National Journal of Physiology, Pharmacy and Pharmacology, Vol 8 | Issue 9.DOI: 10.5455/njppp.2018.8.0412506062018www.njppp.com
26. Kil-Byung Lim and Hong-Jae Lee, Jeehyun Yoo, Hyun-Ju Yun, Hye-Jung Hwang 2016, "Efficacy of Mirror Therapy Containing Functional Tasks in Poststroke Patients" Annals of Rehabilitation Medicine, Volume 40(4)eISSN: 2234-0653DOI: https://doi.org/10.5535/arm.2016.40.4.629
27. Sriram Nelakurthy and Sampath Baireddy, Raja Mahendra, Vahini Devi Ch, Sandya Nelakurthy, Hima Varshini, Ragamai 2021"A Study on the Efficacy of Mirror Therapy in the Prognosis of Upper Extremity Motor functions in Stroke Patients" OXIDANTS AND ANTIOXIDANTS IN MEDICAL SCIENCE, VOL 10, NO. 3, PAGE 06–12.
28. Foell, J., Bekrater-Bodmann, R., Diers, M., & Flor, H. (2014). Mirror therapy for phantom limb pain: brain changes and the role of body representation. *European journal of pain*, *18*(5), 729-739.
29. Maryam Khan Kundi, Nicola J. Spence 2023, "Efficacy of mirror therapy on lower limb motor recovery, balance and gait in subacute and chronic stroke: A systematic review" Physiotherapy Research International, https://doi.org/10.1002/pri.1997
30. Maryam Khan Kundi and Nicola J Spence 2023 "Efficacy of mirror therapy on lower limb motor recovery, balance and gait in subacute and chronic stroke: A systematic review" doi: 10.1002/pri.1997.
31. Uthra Mohan, S. Karthik babu, K. Vijay Kumar, B. V. Suresh, and Z. K. Misri and Prof M. Chakrapani 2013, "Effectiveness of mirror therapy on lower extremity motor recovery, balance and mobility in patients with acute stroke: A randomized sham-controlled pilot trial" Annals of Indian academy of neurology, ISSN: 0972-2327
32. Goris Kin Nga Hung and Cabbee Tsz Lui Li and Alexander Miles Yiu, Kenneth N.K. Fong 2015, "Systematic Review: Effectiveness of Mirror Therapy for Lower Extremity Post-Stroke" Hong Kong Journal of Occupational Therapy, Pages 51-59, Volume 26.
33. P. Broderick and F. Horgan et all 2018, "Mirror therapy for improving lower limb motor function and mobility after stroke: A systematic review and meta-analysis" Journal Gait & Posture, Pages 208-220, Volume 63.
34. Carr, J. H., & Shepherd, R. B. (2010). *Neurological rehabilitation: optimizing motor performance*. Elsevier Health Sciences.
35. Lekshmy D., Manju Dhandapani and Dheeraj Khurana 2021, "Effectiveness of Conventional Mirror Therapy on Functional Improvement of Upper Extremities in Patients with Stroke: A Prospective Interventional Study" Nursing & Midwifery Research Journal, Volume 18, Issue 1. https://doi.org/10.1177/0974150X2110579
36. Armat, M. R., Mortazavi, H., Akbari, H., Emami Zeydi, A., &Sarani, A 2022 "Using mirror therapy to optimize the efficacy of balance programs for older adults with poststroke balance impairment" Rehabilitation Nursing, 47(6), 202–209. doi: 10.1097/RNJ.0000000000000389
37. Fong, D. T. P., Hong, Y., Chan, L. K., Yung, P. S. H., & Chan, K. M. (2007). A systematic review on ankle injury and ankle sprain in sports. *Sports medicine*, *37*, 73-94.
38. David C. Good, M.D. 2012, "Treatment Strategies for Enhancing Motor Recovery in Stroke Rehabilitation" j neuro rehab vol.8 No. 4. Downloaded from nnr.sagepub.com at SAGE Publications on December 7, 2012
39. Isayama, T., Mildenhall, L., Schmölzer, G. M., Kim, H. S., Rabi, Y., Ziegler, C., & Liley, H. G. (2020). The route, dose, and interval of epinephrine for neonatal resuscitation: a systematic review. *Pediatrics*, *146*(4).
40. Paolo Gionchetti, Carlo Calabrese 2011, "Role of conventional therapies in the era of biological treatment in Crohn's disease" World Journal of Gastroenterol,14; 17(14): 1797–1806. Published online 2011 Apr 14. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v17.i14.1797
41. Naoyuki Takeuch 2013, "Rehabilitation with Poststroke Motor Recovery: A Review with a Focus on Neural Plasticity" journal of Stroke Research and Treatment, ISSN: 2042-0056 (Online). Volume 2013 | Article ID 128641 | https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/128641
42. Kamal Narayan Arya 2016, "Underlying neural mechanisms of mirror therapy: Implications for motor rehabilitation in stroke" journal of Neurology India Volume : 64 | Issue : 1 | Page : 38-44 (ISSN 0028-3886).
43. Bohannon, R. W., Andrews, A. W., & Smith, M. B. (1988). Rehabilitation goals of patients with hemiplegia. *International Journal of Rehabilitation Research*, *11*(2), 181-184.

44. Latham, N. K., Jette, D. U., Slavin, M., Richards, L. G., Procino, A., Smout, R. J., & Horn, S. D. (2005). Physical therapy during stroke rehabilitation for people with different walking abilities. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation*, *86*(12), 41-50
45. Hakim, A. M., Silver, F., & Hodgson, C. (1998). Organized stroke care: A new era in stroke prevention and treatment. *CMAJ: Canadian Medical Association Journal*, *159*(6), S1.
46. Casaubon, L. K., Boulanger, J. M., Blacquiere, D., Boucher, S., Brown, K., Goddard, T., ... & Lindsay, P. (2015). Canadian stroke best practice recommendations: hyperacute stroke care guidelines, update 2015. *International journal of stroke*, *10*(6), 924-940.
47. Feigin, V. L., Forouzanfar, M. H., Krishnamurthi, R., Mensah, G. A., Connor, M., Bennett, D. A., ... & Murray, C. (2014). Global and regional burden of stroke during 1990–2010: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *The lancet*, *383*(9913), 245-255.
48. Stroke, W. H. O. (1989). Recommendations on stroke prevention, diagnosis, and therapy. Report of the WHO Task Force on Stroke and other Cerebrovascular Disorders. *Stroke*, *20*(10), 1407-1431.
49. Ada, L., Dean, C. M., Vargas, J., & Ennis, S. (2010). Mechanically assisted walking with body weight support results in more independent walking than assisted overground walking in non-ambulatory patients early after stroke: a systematic review. *Journal of physiotherapy*, *56*(3), 153-161.
50. Jørgensen, H. S., Nakayama, H., Raaschou, H. O., Vive-Larsen, J., Støier, M., & Olsen, T. S. (1995). Outcome and time course of recovery in stroke. Part II: Time course of recovery. The Copenhagen Stroke Study. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation*, *76*(5), 406-412.
51. Kundi, M. K., & Spence, N. J. (2023). Efficacy of mirror therapy on lower limb motor recovery, balance and gait in subacute and chronic stroke: A systematic review. *Physiotherapy Research International*, *28*(2), e1997.
52. Hall, R., Khan, F., & O'Callaghan, C. (2013). *Ontario stroke evaluation report 2012: spotlight on secondary stroke prevention and care*. Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences.
53. Hodgson, C. (1998). Prevalence and disabilities of community-living seniors who report the effects of stroke. *CMAJ: Canadian Medical Association Journal*, *159*(6), S9
54. Michael, K. M., Allen, J. K., & Macko, R. F. (2005). Reduced ambulatory activity after stroke: the role of balance, gait, and cardiovascular fitness. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation*, *86*(8), 1552-1556.
55. Dettman, M., Linder, M. T., & Sepic, S. B. (1987). Relationships among walking performance, postural stability, and functional assessment of the hemiplegic patient. *American Journal of Physical Medicine*, *66*(2), 77–90.
56. Cruz, T. H., Lewek, M. D., & Dhaher, Y. Y. (2009). Biomechanical impairments and gait adaptations post-stroke: Multi-factorial associations. *Journal of Biomechanics*, *42*, 1673–1677.
57. Chisholm, A. E., Makepeace, S., Inness, E. L., & Mansfield, A. (2014). Spatial-temporal gait variability post-stroke: Variations in measurement and implications for measuring change. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, *95*(7), 1335–1341.
58. Patterson, K. K., Parafianowicz, I., Danells, C. J., et al. (2008). Gait asymmetry in community-ambulating stroke survivors. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, *89*(2), 304–310.
59. Schiemanck, S. K., Kwakkel, G., Post, M. W., Kappelle, L. J., & Prevo, A. J. (2008). Impact of internal capsule lesions on outcome of motor hand function at one year post-stroke. *Journal of rehabilitation medicine*, *40*(2), 96-101.
60. Sasikumar, S., & Strafella, A. P. (2021). The neuroimaging evidence of brain abnormalities in functional movement disorders. *Brain*, *144*(8), 2278-2283.
61. Beyer, R., Ingerslev, J., & Sørensen, B. (2010). Muscle bleeds in professional athletes–diagnosis, classification, treatment and potential impact in patients with haemophilia. *Haemophilia*, *16*(6), 858-865.
62. Hetland, M. L., Haavardsholm, E. A., (2020). Active conventional treatment and three different biological treatments in early rheumatoid arthritis: Phase IV investigator-initiated, randomized, observer-blinded clinical trial. *BMJ*, *370*, m4328. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m4328
63. MacAuley, D. (Ed.). (2012). *Oxford handbook of sport and exercise medicine*. OUP Oxford.
64. Mohan, U., Kumar, K. V., Suresh, B. V., Misri, Z. K., & Chakrapani, M. (2013). Effectiveness of mirror therapy on lower extremity motor recovery, balance and mobility in patients with acute stroke: a randomized sham-controlled pilot trial. *Annals of Indian Academy of Neurology*, *16*(4), 634-639.
65. Hsieh, C. L., Hsueh, I. P., Chiang, F. M., & Lin, P. H. (1998). Inter-rater reliability and validity of the action research arm test in stroke patients. *Age and ageing*, *27*(2), 107-113.
66. Buccino, G., Solodkin, A., & Small, S. L. (2006). Functions of the mirror neuron system: implications for neurorehabilitation. *Cognitive and behavioral neurology*, *19*(1), 55-63.
67. Lim, K. B., Lee, H. J., Yoo, J., Yun, H. J., & Hwang, H. J. (2016). Efficacy of mirror therapy containing functional tasks in poststroke patients. *Annals of rehabilitation medicine*, *40*(4), 629-636.
68. Hopper, M. A., Tirman, P., & Robinson, P. (2010, June). Muscle injury of the chest wall and upper extremity. In *Seminars in musculoskeletal radiology* (Vol. 14, No. 02, pp. 122-130). © Thieme Medical Publishers.

69. Gurbuz, N., Afsar, S. I., Ayaş, S., & Cosar, S. N. S. (2016). Effect of mirror therapy on upper extremity motor function in stroke patients: a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of physical therapy science*, *28*(9), 2501-2506.
70. Mirela Cristina, L., Matei, D., Ignat, B., & Popescu, C. D. (2015). Mirror therapy enhances upper extremity motor recovery in stroke patients. *Acta neurologica belgica*, *115*, 597-603.
71. Katoozian, L., Tahan, N., Zoghi, M., & Bakhshayesh, B. (2018). The onset and frequency of spasticity after first ever stroke. *Journal of the National Medical Association*, *110*(6), 547-552.
72. Wahlstedt, C., & Rasmussen-Barr, E. (2015). Anterior cruciate ligament injury and ankle dorsiflexion. *Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy*, *23*, 3202-3207.
73. Porter, D. A., Jaggers, R. R., Barnes, A. F., & Rund, A. M. (2014). Optimal management of ankle syndesmosis injuries. *Open access journal of sports medicine*, 173-182.
74. Dickstein, R., & Deutsch, J. E. (2007). Motor imagery in physical therapist practice. *Physical therapy*, *87*(7), 942-953.
75. Rashid, K., Mukhtar, T., Ali, A., Inam, R., Naureen, S., & Waheed, H. (2024). Impact of Hand Grip Strength on Functional Independence and Arm Motor Performance in Stroke Survivors. *Journal of Health and Rehabilitation Research*, *4*(1), 748-752.
76. Cortez, B. V., da Silva Coêlho, C. K., Silva, D. R. C., Oliveira, M. D. C. B., de Oliveira, G. B. V. P., e Silva, F. M. D. S., ... & de Moraes Silva, J. (2016). Effects of mirror therapy on the lower limb functionality hemiparesis after stroke. *Health*, *8*(14), 1442-1452.
77. Samuelkamaleshkumar, S., Reethajanetsureka, S., Pauljebaraj, P., Benshamir, B., Padankatti, S. M., & David, J. A. (2014). Mirror therapy enhances motor performance in the paretic upper limb after stroke: a pilot randomized controlled trial. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation*, *95*(11), 2000-2005.
78. Arya, K. N. (2016). Underlying neural mechanisms of mirror therapy: Implications for motor rehabilitation in stroke. *Neurology India*, *64*(1), 38-44.
79. Ward, N. S., Brown, M. M., Thompson, A. J., & Frackowiak, R. S. J. (2003). Neural correlates of motor recovery after stroke: A longitudinal fMRI study. *Brain*, *126*, 2476–2486.
80. Moseley, G. L., Gallace, A., & Spence, C. (2008). Is mirror therapy all it is cracked up to be? Current evidence and future directions. *Pain*, *138*(1), 7–10.
81. Bae, S. H., Jeong, W. S., & Kim, K. Y. (2012). Effects of mirror therapy on subacute stroke patients' brain waves and upper extremity functions. *Journal of Physical Therapy Science*, *24*, 1119–1122.
82. Summers, J. J., Kagerer, F. A., Garry, M. I., et al. (2007). Bilateral and unilateral movement training on upper limb function in chronic stroke patients: A TMS study. *Journal of the Neurological Sciences*, *252*(1), 76–82.
83. Lindenberg, R., Renga, V., Zhu, L. L., Nair, D., & Schlaug, G. M. D. P. (2010). Bihemispheric brain stimulation facilitates motor recovery in chronic stroke patients. *Neurology*, *75*(24), 2176-2184.
84. Yavuzer, G., Selles, R., Sezer, N., Sütbeyaz, S., Bussmann, J. B., Köseoğlu, F., ... & Stam, H. J. (2008). Mirror therapy improves hand function in subacute stroke: a randomized controlled trial. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation*, *89*(3), 393-398.
85. Ezendam, D., Bongers, R. M., & Jannink, M. J. (2009). Systematic review of the effectiveness of mirror therapy in upper extremity function. *Disability and rehabilitation*, *31*(26), 2135-2149.
86. Thieme, H., Mehrholz, J., Pohl, M., Behrens, J., Dohle, C., & Mirror Therapy Review Group. (2013). Mirror therapy for improving motor function after stroke. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, *2013*(3), CD008449. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD008449.pub3
87. Winstein, C. J., Miller, J. P., Blanton, S., Taub, E., Uswatte, G., Morris, D., ... & Wolf, S. L. (2004). Methods for a multisite randomized trial to investigate the effect of constraint-induced movement therapy in improving upper extremity function among adults recovering from a cerebrovascular stroke. *Neurorehabilitation and Neural Repair*, *18*(2), 88-105. https://doi.org/10.1177/0888439004263198
88. O'Donnell, M. J., Xavier, D., Liu, L., Zhang, H., Chin, S. L., Rao-Melacini, P., ... & Yusuf, S. (2016). Risk factors for ischaemic and intracerebral haemorrhagic stroke in 22 countries (the INTERSTROKE study): A case-control study. *The Lancet*, *376*(9735), 112-123. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60834-3
89. Michielsen, M. E., Selles, R. W., van der Geest, J. N., Eckhardt, M. Y., Yavuzer, G., & Stam, H. J. (2011). Motor recovery and cortical reorganization after mirror therapy in chronic stroke patients: A phase II randomized controlled trial. *Neurorehabilitation and Neural Repair*, *25*(3), 223-233. https://doi.org/10.1177/1545968310385127
90. Ramachandran, V. S., & Rogers-Ramachandran, D. (1996). Synaesthesia in phantom limbs induced with mirrors. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *263*, 377–386.